

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,385	10/602,385 06/24/2003		Jayshree Seth	58453US002	1544
32692	7590 06/15/2005			EXAMINER	
3M INNOV	ATIVE PR	OPERTIES COM	BRITTAIN, JAMES R		
PO BOX 334	-27				
ST. PAUL, 1	MN 55133-	3427	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				3677	_

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
, t '	10/602,385	SETH ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	James R. Brittain	3677					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ma	arch 2005.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.	·						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9 and 19-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-18</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	,					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	•					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •						
application from the International Bureau	•	or in this realisman stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.					
		, *					
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09112003,12212004</u> .	6) Other:						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 06132005					

Application/Control Number: 10/602,385

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of the absorbent garment in the reply filed on March 28, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 1-9 and 19-26 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention and species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on March 28, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tuman et al. (US 2001/0018110) in view of Nestegard (US 4894060).

Tuman et al. (figures 1, 2, 5, 6) teaches that it is desirable to have a fastener usable on clothing that is breathable wherein the final product can have its porosity, elasticity, flexibility, breathability and stiffness affected by the web and therefore adjustment of these variable by adjusting the web properties is desirable [0021] – [0024]. The difference is that the thickness is not specified as being between 50 to 200 microns. It would have been obvious to utilize a thickness in the range of 50 to 200 microns in view of Nestegard (figures 1, 6-9) teaching that it

is desirable to utilize a thickness in the range of 50 to 400 microns and preferably 150 microns so as to be properly attachable (col. 3, line 61 – col. 4, line 5). As to claims 11-18, these ranges are obvious over the combined teachings of Tuman et al. and Nestegard as they teach that the level of skill in the art is such that stiffness, backing thickness and hook density were variables to be changed to effect the operation of the fastener and there is no new effect by changing the variables in the manner sought by applicant.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James R. Brittain whose telephone number is (571) 272-7065. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on (571) 272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James R. Brittain Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677